Procurement Guidance for Orderable/Un-orderable Part Numbers

Summary of changes resulting from each revision:

Rev A: Revised number 7 to accurately reflect the actual unallowable characters in PDM.

Rev B: Added Guidance descriptions 28 and 29.


Rev D: Added Guidance Description 31 and 32.

Rev E: Added Guidance Description 33.

Rev. F: Added Guidance Description 34.

Rev. G: Remove Guidance Description 34. Inspection is now conducted to the rev of the Purchase Order

Rev. H: Add Guidance Description 34. SMI Only Drawing Up Rev Condition

Rev. J: Modify Guidance Description 34. Remove statement, “A review of the drawing revision on the SMI release and all subsequent revisions up to the currently released revision is required.” Not Applicable.
Objective
It is BAE Systems practice to purchase material in accordance with the part number contained on the purchase requisition and as defined by the documentation contained within PDM. There are situations when the OEM part number and our requested BAE Systems part number do not match. The following is guidance to Procurement to facilitate the disposition of items where the BAE part number and the supplier part number are not exact matches.

1 Issue
If a cage code has been added to the actual part number (PN)
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable

2 Issue
If NES, DUP and other migration type identifier has been added to the actual PN
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable

3 Issue
If requested PN is obsolete
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable, with a recommended alternate if available.

4 Issue
If the PN is Invalid or Incorrect PN
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable, with recommended alternate/correction if available/known.
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5 Issue
If the approved source/cage code in PDM is no longer in business, has been sold or merged, etc.
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable only if the cage code number is different. The supplier name in PDM or on the drawing may differ from the current supplier name assigned to that cage code.

6 Issue
If the supplier includes or deletes slash/dash, etc. in their PN for their convenience so long as this does not affect the definition of the part.
Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

7 Issue
If Supplier PN differs as a result of characters which are unallowable in BAE Systems PDM:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,</td>
<td>,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>]</td>
<td>]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>If a Distributor PN is called out rather than the OEM PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>If item such as software licenses contains only a description of software rather than a PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>If the packaging identifier in the OEM’s PN is not defined in the BAE PN or the BAE PN contains a different identifier. Packaging in this instance reflects the media, such as tape and reel, bulk, waffle. It does not include the body/can size or type which must be as specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>The part must be supplied in accordance with the packaging code on the PO or for SMI parts as defined in the forecast. The part is acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>If a Supplier name or designation is added to the PN. For example NHI-1538ETGW/T where NHI is the supplier and is not actually part of their orderable PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>If the item is specified as standard such as DIN standard fastener and the actual PN provided/quoted by Supplier is different.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Part needs to be ordered and supplied as defined by BAE PN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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13 Issue
If a “GFM” or “CFM” has been added to end of manufacturer’s PN in order for the part to be procured and stocked as government or customer furnished material

Action
Acceptable for BAE buyers as the intent of this is only to provide a vehicle to put the items into inventory IAW DI-4067. It should be considered un-orderable by our suppliers.

14 Issue
When ordering an active military component such as 5962 series and JM38510 series where the last character is an “X” as allowed by Mil-Spec, the finish options A, B or C are allowed.

Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

15 Issue
For active military components such as 5962 series where the PN was loaded without the 5962 designator in PDM/Oracle or PDM/Oracle has the 5962 and the Mfr. does not

Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

16 Issue
For active military components such as JM38510 series where the PN was loaded with M38510 in PDM/Oracle and Mfr. reflects JM38510 or loaded with JM38510 in PDM/Oracle and Mfr. reflects M38510.

Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s
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17 Issue  For dual termination type items such as CRCW series that come in two different terminations. If the drawing states either termination is acceptable.
Action  Acceptable with either termination as defined by drawing.

18 Issue  For military discrete components such as JANTX series that may have many combinations from the OEMs:
- PDM/Oracle reflects JANTX2N2222 – Mfr. reflects 2N2222JANTX, or 2N2222-JANTX
Action  Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

19 Issue  For a DSCC PN or BAE PN that cross references directly to a commercial item but due to the size of the part, markings may not be complete or nonexistent
Action  Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

20 Issue  If the part specified is a RoHS PN yet the clausing requires part to be supplied as non RoHS
Action  Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable

21 Issue  When ordering a Mil-Spec PN that contains X indicating dual acceptable finishes, i.e., A or F
Action  Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s
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22 Issue
For dual certified hardware/fastener type product where the standard such as NAS, MS, ANS identified and the standard supplied may differ.
Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

23 Issue
If multiple OEM sources are identified as acceptable in PDM but the requisition restricts the procurement to only one specific source
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable. Should be put on unique drawing or input as vendor item if the other sources are not permitted.

24 Issue
If the drawing/requirement is not understood or if it appears the incorrect drawing type may have been used.
Action
Shall be sent back to program for clarification

25 Issue
If Distributor uses the PN for internal purposes such as to identify value add services, Site Agreements(SSG). This is supplier specific and pertains to Avnet.
Action
Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

26 Issue
For equivalents or other similar type items where no drawing and/or documentation is available for the supplier
Action
Shall be sent back to program as un-orderable
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27 Issue If on the packing slip, the BAE PN incorporates either /- or /Rev as a suffix. This is Supplier specific and pertains to Avnet.

Action Acceptable

28 Issue The OEM requires the use of a suffix or prefix on an OEM orderable PN to provide direction to their manufacturing site. (i.e. Maxim GG8/GH9 for manufacturing site/process or International Rectifier RH for tin lead solder)

Action It is acceptable for this suffix / prefix to be added to the OEM orderable PN for ordering purposes only. It is acceptable for packing slip to reference OEM orderable PN with ordering suffix or prefix, as long as it also contains the BAE item number ordered.

29 Issue When the cage code differs from that indicated in PDM but is reflective of the same OEM/original design authority

Action Acceptable

30 Issue If a BAE Systems PN under CAGE CODE 94117 contains preceding zeroes (0) on the BOM, I.E. XXXXXXXP007 and the drawing reflects XXXXXXXP7 without the preceding zeroes that is acceptable.

Action Acceptable
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31 Issue  For hardware, a manufacturer requires a suffix or prefix added to their PN to ensure supply of DFAR specialty metals compliant parts (for example 71-T6-4-L-5 supplied as 71-T6-4-L-5SM, or KFS2-440 supplied as KFS2-440-D), and there is no difference in specification of the part or cage code of supplier.

Action  Acceptable as long as the packing slip/C of C identifies both PN’s

32 Issue  When a VICD drawing does not specify value-add in the body of the drawing, but attaches a supplier datasheet for reference only, the Buyer can purchase the parts per the supplier PN specified, without validating the datasheet with the supplier.

Action  Acceptable

33 Issue  When BAE Systems is not the Design Authority on a drawing and the drawing specifies a “Suggested Source(s) of Supply”. The suggested source of supply is the required source. The Supplier shall quote to the suggested source listed on the drawing. If the suggested source is no longer viable, Supplier may quote a part compliant to any qualified source of supply in our ASL.

Action  If the suggested source is no longer viable, SE will notify BAE CE and PE for concurrence of the alternate supplier. Alternate source is not acceptable if the suggested source can still supply the part and without concurrence. Part will be returned to the Supplier.
Issue

The SMI release for delivery of product references a down level BAE Systems drawing revision.

Action

There are several drawing types that can be affected by a P or dash condition additions. If the delta(s) between the currently released drawing revision in PDM and the drawing revision identified on the paperwork is due to a P or dash condition additions, then the paperwork is acceptable. Specific attention must be given to section 3, which is titled ‘Requirements’, of the drawing.